

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231.

MULLEN, T

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/769,986 09/30/91 VERCELLOTTI 56.294 EXAMINER

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP.

	Ċ	CHURCHILL SIYE - LAW DEPT.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		INTELLECTUAL PROP. SECTION			
		PITTSBURGH, PA 15235	2608	ン	
		· ·			
			DATE MAILED:	01/06/93	
		ommunication from the examiner in charge of your application.			
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
		•			
☐ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on 10-5-92 ☐ This action is made final.					
		/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire					
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133					
Part !	•	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
	H	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	atent Drawing, PTO-9	48.	
	H	Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	formal Patent Applica	ition, Form PTO-152.	
э.	ш	Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6.			
B 4 !		***************************************			
Part I	•	SUMMARY OF ACTION			
	D.	Claims and 4-18			
1.	لكز	Claims I and 9-18	a	re pending in the application.	
		Of the above, claims	are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
	≥6	Claims $2-3$			
		_		have been cancelled.	
	πí	1.1.15			
				are allowed.	
	LO ₂	Claims 1, man 4-13 and 16-18			
•	سب	1 10 10		are rejected.	
5	П	Claims			
-					
	П	Claims are			
•	_	Citatris are	subject to restriction	or election requirement.	
7.	П	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.			
•••	_	The approximentation and with another of awards under 37 C.F.M. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examin	nation purposes.	
A		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.			
	_	. Similar drawings are required in response to this Office action.			
9.	\Box	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	11-1-15		
	_	are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing		. 1.84 these drawings	
				,	
10.	Ø	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on $\frac{10-5-92}{2}$ examiner. \Box disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). $-$ also approximately $-$ and $-$ approximately $-$ approxima) Nor	,	
	_	examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)	= nas (nave) been	. approved by the	
		commence and the examiner (see explanation). — also appr	oved Py Dr	attsman.	
11.	·O	The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been _ appro			
		appro	veo. Li disapproved	I (see explanation).	
12.	П	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy			
	_		nas 🔲 been receive	ad LJ not been received	
		been filled in parent application, serial no; filed on _			
	_				
13.	Ш	Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in	
		accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	_				
14.	Ļ	Other			

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial No. 769,986

2608

Art Unit

1. The amendment filed Oct. 5, 1992 has been fully considered.

The proposed additional drawing sheet with Figs. 2-4 is approved.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The "Brief Description of the Drawing" (page 7) should be amended to describe new figs. 2-4, and refer to "The Figure" as "Figure 1"; further, the "Description of the Preferred Embodiments" should include discussion of the new figure \$.

Appropriate correction is required.

Note the content of applicant's amendment at page 9, lines 1-11.

3. Claims 1, 4-13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 22, "tag" should be "tags". In claim 5, im line 12, after "receiver" should be "means", and after "therein" should be a comma. In claim 5, line 22, "acknowledged" is misspelled.

In claim 8, lines 6-7 and claim 11, lines 4-5, "said means for processing said second interrogation signal" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 10-11 and 16-17, "(means for) periodically detecting the presence/absence of an interrogation signal" is vague and indefinite, since it appears that any means capable of

Serial No. 769,986

Art Unit 2608

distinguishing signal presence from signal absence would inherently "detect" both states, i.e. it appears that such a means would detect whether a signal is present. Thus, the following changes are suggested:

At the end of claim 10, change "the presence of an interrogation signal" to "whether an interrogation signal is present"; at claim 11, lines 6-7, same change; at the end of claim 16, change "an absence of an interrogation signal" to "whether an interrogation signal is present"; and cancel claim 17, since claim 16 if amended as above now recites detecting both presence and absence.

In claim 16, line 10, after "until" should be "only".

- Claims 1, 4-13 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten or 4. amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 5. Claims 14-15 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- Because of the new rejections set forth which weren't 6. necessitated by amendment, this office action is non-final.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Mullen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

T.MULLEN: 1fa

December 30, 1992

GROUP 2600